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Introduction/Background

As if the mounting evidence of health information technology’s benefits isn’t enough to justify investments by health insurers, recent health reform regulations dealing with medical loss ratio provisions present a compelling reason to make health IT central to quality improvement strategies and programs.


The Affordable Care Act requires health insurers to meet specific medical loss ratio (MLR) thresholds or else rebate premiums to enrollees. Medical costs, which include reimbursement for health care services and expenses associated with quality improvement, must comprise 80 percent to 85 percent of premiums generated from small group and large group plans, respectively. By funding projects promoting the electronic exchange of health information across the health care system, a health insurer can strengthen its medical management programs and quality initiatives with investments that improve its MLR.

Criteria defining what expenses qualify as quality improvement activities for purposes of calculating the medical costs numerator were recently published in the Department of Health and Human Services interim final rule for implementation of MLR requirements by health insurers. In developing the MLR criteria, the agency adopted the recommendations submitted by the
National Association of Insurance Commissioners in October 2010. The NAIC model for calculating the MLR defines five areas of quality improvement where investments would be included in medical costs. Expenses for HIT infrastructure are listed as a fifth, distinct area, but a closer look reveals it is foundational to all the other quality improvement elements in NAIC’s model.

HHS and the architects of the NAIC recommendations clearly intended to have the quality improvement elements align with other health care system reform efforts, especially the adoption of electronic health record (EHR) systems that support the exchange of health information to enable patient-centered models of care. For example, electronic health information exchange (HIE) among patients and their providers—a key element of the access and continuity standards for patient-centered medical homes (PCMH)—can be counted as a quality improvement expense. Investments by Accountable Care Organizations to collect data from various sources for the purposes of identifying population health needs and aid in the coordination of care would also qualify. These examples illustrate the strategic importance of HIT and more specifically HIE in payer’s quality improvement efforts.

The exchange of health information among all caregivers, including the patient, and access to the comprehensive longitudinal electronic health record that is created in the process, are key enablers of each of the quality improvement areas included in HHS’s calculation of medical expenses. Thus, investments in HIE infrastructure and applications which use data from the HIE not only contribute to the health insurer’s achievement of the government MLR thresholds, but can also accelerate the transformation underway of care management models to improve quality and reduce medical expenses.

Below, we take a look at each of the four quality improvement areas outlined in the HHS rule and how HIE technology plays a critical factor in “moving the needle” on quality.

Improving Health Outcomes with a Collaborative Care Model

Investments to foster collaboration of care among providers, their patients, and health insurers’ care management resources qualify as quality improvement expenses under the MLR model. Principal areas of focus in the improving health outcomes category are activities supporting coordination of care, chronic disease management, and support of patient self-management.

The intent of the definition is clearly to support infrastructure investments that replace the silos of insurer-sponsored disease management and provider-centric episodic care with a patient-centered platform, enabling the sharing of information and communication among health plan care managers, providers, and their patients. Implementation of the patient-centered medical home is explicitly identified in this quality improvement area of the regulations; investments to support the patient access and self-management functions of the PCMH would qualify as quality improvement expenses. Electronic access to the comprehensive, longitudinal record of patients’ health care made possible with an HIE results in care guidelines that are more meaningful and actionable at the point of care.

Evidence of patient non-compliance with recommended lab work, screening and medication regimens sourced from a HIE is likely to be more precise compared to an insurer’s claims database or physician office’s medical records. Physicians can be notified at the point of care of tests needing to be performed so the physician can be more proactive, especially in managing patients with chronic diseases.

Daily updates on critical HEDIS measure scores and NCQA star ratings can be delivered to providers, enabling focused and timely adjustments to care management strategies. Reminders to schedule appointments for check-ups and screenings can be sent electronically to members/patients who are not complying with care guidelines for maintaining their health. Using longitudinal medication histories available via an HIE, applications can detect possible adherence problems, alert clinicians, and suggest evidence-based approaches to fostering better self-management of medications by patients.

HIE in Practice

Using data from an HIE of payer- and provider-sourced health records, a decision support system generates clinical alerts for members of the Vanderbilt University health plan in need of possible interventions (e.g. high cholesterol with no medication therapy) or health screenings. Evidence-based clinical alerts that are electronically generated based on longitudinal health records identify potentially serious medical errors, help reduce hospitalizations, and are associated with a reduction in medical expenses (Vanderbilt University, 2009).

Improving Patient Safety and Reducing Medication Errors

Activities designed to help detect possible drug interactions and treatment contraindications are included in quality of care expenses for MLR calculation. This is an area where clinicians’ access to patients’ comprehensive EHRs via an HIE clearly makes a difference in quality.

Electronic access to a patient’s medication history using a basic web portal helps physicians detect fraud and abuse, polypharmacy, and potential contraindications before writing a new prescription. During the electronic prescription writing process, the prescriber is alerted to possible drug-drug, drug-allergy, and drug-condition interactions. A comprehensive and accurate review of interactions is made possible with connectivity of the e-prescribing application to an HIE.

Although one-third of office-based prescribers are e-prescribing, most do not have access to a HIE, and the majority of physicians are still using prescription pads. Broader and sustained involvement by health insurers in promoting e-prescribing linked to HIEs is critical to further reductions in medication errors, especially since the investment will now be included in the medical expense component of the MLR.
Promote Health and Wellness

Quality improvement activities covered under the promote-health-and-wellness category are focused on outreach to members, encouraging them to become more actively engaged in their health and health care.

Health education and coaching, and providing tools for individuals to assess their health risks are examples of investments that qualify as medical expenses for purposes of the MLR calculation.

Web-based health applications designed for consumers and tethered to an HIE provide these population health outreach programs with scale and the ability for the different stakeholders to communicate quickly and efficiently. EHR systems and data warehouses connected to the HIE are the source of data on members’ health to target interventions to the specific needs of a particular member, such as health education for a long-time diabetic.

Web-based health risk assessments from a trusted source (e.g. a health care provider connected to the HIE), personalized for the recipient, with known health information filled in are more likely to be completed. To foster patient engagement and use of these self-care applications, health coaches and care managers can communicate securely in an asynchronous environment with each other and with patients.
tics that simply re-allocate funds that would otherwise be lost, HIE-based quality improvement strategies in response to the MLR regulation will accelerate the transformation of how health insurers interact with their providers and members.

**Sources:**


---

**Recommendations for Action**

- Leave the sidelines and become engaged as communities build their HIEs. Health insurers at the table can influence the HIE’s investment priorities to align with the health insurer’s PCMH and ACO initiatives. Care managers’ direct access to providers and patients via a shared EHR can be pivotal to transforming chronic care management.

- Work with HIE initiatives and health care providers implementing EHRs on the development or selection of quality management applications. A health insurer’s expertise in the usability and effectiveness of different care guidelines can be instrumental in the successful adoption of a clinical decision support system by clinicians.

- Promote the adoption by physicians of EHR applications in areas which have demonstrated concrete evidence in reducing medical costs: ePrescribing, clinical decision support, self-management tools are prime areas. Encourage the use of EHR applications that are connected to a health information exchange.